

(S-1770)

SERVICE

NUMBER

Connie

Anderson, Robert

S 10325

Boarded

CONTENTS

9072

Connecticut

Robert Anderson

East Haddam in the State of Connecticut
who was a Private in the Legion commanded
by Captain Gildem of the Regt commanded
by Col. Meigs in the Legion. T
Due for 11 mos

Inscribed on the Bill of Account
at the rate of 140 Dollars 00 Cents per annum,
to commence on the 1st day of March, 1831:

Certificate of Payment issued the 23 day of October
1833 - and Debit \$ 10,000
Bridgeman, East Haddam Ct
Arrears to the 1st of March, 33: \$ 8000
Semi-annual allowance ending Sept. 33: 2000
\$ 10,000

{ Revolutionary Claims
Act June 7, 1832.

Recorded by R D Bullock Clerk
Book 6 Vol. 3 — Page 1

State of Connecticut
County of Middlesex
Probate District of East Haddam

vs East Haddam

On the 15th day of August A.D. 1832, personally appeared in open Court before the Court of Probate for the District of East Haddam being a Court of record, having a black and seal, now sitting, Robert Anderson a resident of the town of East Haddam Middlesex County Connecticut and District aforesaid aged seventy seven years, who being first duly sworn, according to law doth, on his oath, make the following declaration, in order to obtain the benefit of the act of Congress passed June 7th 1832.

That he entered the service of the United States under the following named officers and served as herein stated.

1st Immediately after the alarm caused by the battle at Lexington in Massachusetts, your deponent then residing at East Haddam aforesaid in the month of April of the day the defendant does not precisely recollect; in the year 1775— volunteered as a soldier and went to Roxbury in Massachusetts where he joined the army under what officers he cannot now recollect. He remained at Roxbury with the army as a volunteer until sometime in the month of May when the officers that had been commissioned by the Legislature of Connecticut came down and assumed the command of such as enlisted. While the defendant was a volunteer, there was some alarm in consequence of a supposed landing

of some British troops in the neighborhood of Weymouth in Massa-
chusetts - Some of the troops among whom was the deponent were
marched to Dorchester and then to Weymouth - but the alarm
proved to be a false one) and the troops returned to Roxbury -
Nothing of much importance occurred during this period - When
the Officers that had been commissioned by the Connecticut Legis-
lature came to Roxbury which was in the month of May as the
Deponent thinks, he immediately enlisted as a soldier into a
Company of which John Wiley was Captain - Daniel Conant
- enant and Joseph Dickinson Ensign - Samuel Wyllis was Lieut
Colonel ^{in chief} of the Regiment to which Deponent belonged and Return
J. Wiley Major - The term for which deponent enlisted was ~~was~~
seven months - during all of which period the deponent remained
at Roxbury and at the expiration of that period ~~returned home~~
having been regularly dismissed - During the period of Deponent's
enlistment the troops were principally employed in erecting
fortifications and redoubts and in keeping guards - There
was occasional firing of cannon and bombs from the British Army
at Boston by means of which some of our men were killed - There
was also some firing from the American troops at the British
Army in Boston - The Brigade to which deponent belonged
was commanded by Genl Joseph Spencer Your deponent
was in sight of the burning of Charlestown which ^{was} ~~crossed~~ the Battle
of Bunker Hill - But that part of army stationed at Roxbury
were not engaged in the battle it being designd that they
should prevent any movement in the quarter where they were stationed

or regiment which defendant does not recollect -
The Brigade of Genl Parsons was stationed at Roxbury near
that to which defendant belonged - Your defendant thinks that
Genl Heath and Genl Thomas were also there and he believes with
troops under their command - Genl Washington was during this
period at Roxbury - There were eight companies in the regiment
to which defendant belonged, but he cannot particularly recollect
them - Your defendant was well acquainted with Genl Spencer
belonging in the same town with him - he knew Col Parsons
also from Lyme in town - Your defendant had seen Genl
Washington - Genl Heath and Thomas but was acquainted with
none of them - The defendant has no documentary evidence
in support of his claim and accompanies this ^{declaration} deposition with
depositions in its support

2nd In the year 1776 in the month of September in the forepart
^{September.} of the month as defendant thinks, the defendant then residing at
East Haddam aforesaid marched with the Company to which
he belonged along the towns upon Long Island sound (the regiment
of militia to which said company belonged having been ordered
to aid in the defense of New York) through New Haven until
they arrived at East Chester in the State of New York where
the regiment was first stationed - Dyan Throop was the com-
manding Colonel of the Regiment and James Chapman as defendant
thinks Major - The company to which defendant belonged was
commanded by Ebenezer Dutton, who was sick and did not go with

- 63 - The Lieutenant of the Company had the command while we were in service, his name was Nathan Lowell. There was no Ensign or depoⁿent master at that time. The Brigade depoⁿent thinks the Brigade was commanded by Genl Parsons. The regiment did not stay long at East Chester, but soon b
oved to Morrisania Point where we staid some time, then moved to Kingsbridge afterwards to the vicinity of White Plains and then to the neighbourhood of Rye Ponds where in the month of November, the Depoⁿent ^{thinks as late as 20th he} was with the rest of the troops regularly dismissed. The depoⁿent was in sight of the battle of White Plains but the regiment to which he belonged was not engaged, not having arrived as depoⁿent thinks until after the battle commenced. While we were at Rye Ponds the British troops had made an incursion into the country and taken off some cattle. Some of the troops at Rye Ponds were sent in pursuit, but the British party made their escape before they were overtaken. While we were at Morrisania Point the British Fleet passed up the North River as was said. While stationed at the place abov^{en}amed the troops were mostly employed in keeping guard and looking out for the enemy. During this period the Regiment of Colonel Huntington of whom lay near us. There were other regiments but your depoⁿent cannot particularly describe them. Among the companies near us was one commanded by Capt^a Harris and Capt^a anotherly Dutton and in the continental service that of Capt^a Holmes. There were continental regiments near us but depoⁿent cannot describe them. The Depoⁿent was acquainted with Genl Parsons who was from

of your in Com - Col Throop and Major Chapman both of East Had-
dams where deponent resided - Your deponent recollects to have
seen Genl Putnam - The Deponent has no documentary evidence
in support of his claim and knows of no person, whose testimony he
can procure, who can testify to his service -

3rd In the month of April in the year 1777 in the month of April
as deponent thinks - he then residing at East Haddam before
said war together with the rest of the Company of Militia to
which he belonged ordered to New London to town about
Twenty miles distant from his place of residence - The Captain
of the Company was Nathan Fennett - George Cone was Lieut.
-enant - the deponent thinks there was no Ensign - While at
New London the Company was stationed on the rising ground
west of Fort Trumbull and engaged in building a fortification
There were troops at the same time in Fort Trumbull and
at Fort Griswold but deponent cannot particularly de-
cribe them - The company to which deponent belonged
was engaged in erecting the fortification and in keeping
quadrants - The company remained at New London a month
when your deponent with the rest of the Company was dis-
missed and returned home - There were no general or field
officers at that time in New London as your deponent recollects
The deponent has no documentary evidence in support of his

claim and knows of no witness whose testimony he can procure who can testify to his service - John Chapman who was a sergeant in 2^d Company is still living and between ninety and one hundred years of age & so broken down & infirmed that he can say nothing on the subject -

1st In the month of August or September in the year 1778 as defendant thinks he then residing at East Haddam a private was drafted from the Company of Militia to which he belonged into the service of the United States for the term of one month and ordered to New London - where he joined a company commanded by Capt. Matthew Smith - the Lieutenant defendant does not recollect - The Ensign he thinks was Rott. Young a good The company were stationed in the town and were mostly engaged in keeping guard - There were other troops at that time in the Fort but your defendant cannot describe them There were no general officers at that time in New London and no field officers as defendant recollects - The defendant was acquainted with the officers of his own company - The defendant has no documentary evidence and knows of no person whose testimony he can procure, who can testify to his serv-
ice - +

5th In the year 1781 in the month of September the defendant then residing at East Haddam in Connecticut with the rest

of the company to which he belonged was ordered by the Colonel
of the Regiment whose name was Worthington to New-
London town where they arrived just after the burning of the
town - and the British force had left it - Nathan Lowell was
Captain of the Company George Lane Lieutenant - the Plaintiff
Defendant does not recollect - Most of the militia of the vil-
lity was at that time called into New London - The company to
which Defendant belonged staid at New London or he thinks two
weeks - when the company was dismissed and returned home
The Defendant was acquainted with Col Worthington and the
officers of the Company to which he belonged - The other troops
that were at New London at that time Defendant cannot
particularly describe - The defendant has no documentary
evidence & knows of ~~two~~ persons, whose testimony he can produce
who can testify to his service -

The Defendant was born in Lyman New London County Conn
on the 12th January 1755 - The Defendant has no record of his age
except what is in a family bible - It may be recorded in Lyman
but this Defendant does not know - The Defendant lived at East
Haddam aforsaid when called into the service where he has ever
since resided - When the Defendant entered the service he volunteered
and then enlisted - afterwards when in the vicinity of New York the

Company and Regiment of Militia, to which Deponent belonged
was ordered out - When first at New London - the whole company
to which Deponent belonged was ordered out - when at New Lon-
don the second time he was drafted and when thereafter the last
time the whole regiment to which he belonged was ordered
out - The deponent has stated with as much particularity
as he can recollect the names of the officers who were with the
troops with whom he served and also such of the regiments
either continental or militia as he can recollect together
with the general circumstances of his service - The Deponent
never received a discharge from the service, but was at all
times regularly dismissed - The Deponent is well known to
Genl Champion Green - Judge Griffin Ossian Holmes Esq
Rev Mr Miner and most of the other inhabitants of the town
of East Haddam who can testify to his character for veracity
and their belief of his services as a soldier of the ~~Revolution~~ revolution

I hereby relinquish every claim whatever to a pension or
annuity except the present, and declare that his name is not on
the pension roll of ~~any~~ the agency of any State, -

Swear and subscribed this
day and year aforesaid

Robert Anderson

State of Connecticut
County of Middlesex District of East Haddam

On this 29th day of December
A D 1832 personally appeared before the Court of
Probate for the District of East Haddam Robert
Anderson a resident of the town of East Haddam
and district aforesaid, an applicant for a pension
under the act of Congress of June 4th 1832, who
being duly sworn deposes and saith, that by
reason of old age, and the consequent loss of mem-
-ory he cannot swear positively as to the precise
length of his service, but according to the best
of his recollection he served not less than
the period mentioned below and in the following
grade - For twelve months and a half I served
as a private soldier; and for such service I claim
a pension -

The Deponent when he first went to
Pawtucket in Massachusetts as related in the first spe-
cification of his claim in his original application
^{is believed} was commanded by Captain Daniel Tilden the
Lieutenant's name he does not recollect the name
of the Ensign was Isaac Spencer - this was before the
officers came down as stated in said specification
under whom he enlisted -

The Deponent has no knowledge of any survivor
of the term named in the second specification of his
claims, who can testify to his service

The Deponent has no knowledge of any survivor of his
third term of service except John Chapman as stat-
ed in his original application, who is so much broken
and infirmed that he can say not recollect nothing in the

subject - The Deponent is the person named in
the fourth specification of his claim in his original
application recd one month - He knows of no
survivor of this term except Robert Hungerford
who is now over eighty years of age and recollects
nothing on the subject - His memory having
failed him - The Deponent knows of no survivor
of the period embraced in the fifth specification
of his claim -

Robert Anderson

I swear and subscribe

The day and year aforesaid -

And said Court before the Judge of which as
a Justice of the Peace the deposition of Ebenezer
Hinckley, on the application of said Anderson for
a pension, was taken certifies that said Hinckley
is and at the time of taking said deposition was
a credible person -

Clopphalet Hinckley, Judge of Court

~~I~~ Matthew G. Warner, Clerk of the Court
of Probate for the District of East Madison, do here
by certify that the foregoing, contains the addi-
tional proceedings of said Court in the matter
of the application of Robert Anderson for a pension
In testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand
as of office this 30th day of June A.D. 1832.

Matthew G. Warner Clerk